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#### Abstract

We consider a model of thermal processes m treatment by local hyperthermia. The model is based on the classical nonlinear bothermal cquation. We formulated a prohlem of optimtzation conststeng of maximization of the mortality function of fumor cells and finding a control for which the temperature distribution the tumor maxmmes the therapewtic effect, whate the infury functuon of healthy tissuc is small.


1. Introduction. The main aim of reatment by local hyperthermia is to heat the tumor tissue to specific temperatures over its entire volume, provided that the heating of and thermal damage to the tissue remain small. Certain problems associated with simulation of thermal processes in hyperthermia have been considered, for example, in |1-3|. In [4], a procedure of optimization is suggested for a phase hyperthermal system. In this work the stationary classical biothermal equation is considered in a semi-infinite uniform region and, using Green's function, a solution of the problem for a four-clement system is obtained.

For the vector $\mathrm{q}=\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}\right.$, and $\left.\varphi_{4}\right)$ the amplitude $P_{i}$ and phase $\varphi_{i}$ components of the $i$-th element are considered as controls. The optimization problem consists in finding a vector

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{q}}=\left(\widetilde{P}_{1}, \tilde{P}_{2}, \tilde{P}_{3}, \tilde{P}_{4}, \bar{\varphi}_{1}, \tilde{\varphi}_{2}, \widetilde{\varphi}_{3}, \widetilde{\varphi}_{4}\right)
$$

for tumor tissue that minimizes the quadratic functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iiint\left(T_{Q}(x)-T_{0}\right)^{2} d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for healthy tissue with the constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{4}(x) \leq T_{1} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actually, however, treatment by hyperthermia is not a stationary process. The damage and destruction of living tissue depend not only on the magnitude of the temperature but also on the duration of its action. Therefore, if the time of the action of a high temperature is rather small, the tissue is hardly damaged. Conversely, even a moderately high but long-lasting temperature can lead to the damage and destruction of healthy tissue.

In this connection, below we consider a nonstationary problem for the nonlinear biothermal equation. We introduce a damage function that is determined at each point of the tissue with allowance for the temperature history. This function is normalized so that it is equal to zero for healthy tissue and to unity for damaged tissue.

The optimization problem involves maximization of the mortality function of the tumor cells. In this case the value of the destruction function in healthy tissue must be small.

[^0]2. Direct Problem. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}, n=2,3$ be a region filled with tissue, with tumor occupying the region $\Omega_{1} \subset \Omega$ and a healthy tissue the region $\Omega \backslash \Omega_{1}$ (Fig. 1). We denote the boundaries of the $\Omega$ and $\Omega_{1}$ regions by $S$ and $\Gamma$ and assume that the latter quantities are continuous according to Lipschits. Let $S_{\|} \subset S$ be the boundary between the tissue and the environment and $S_{2}=S \backslash S_{1}$ the boundary between the region considered and the tissue located outside this region.

The temperature field $T$ in the healthy tissuc is described by the classical biothermal equation $[5,6$ ]

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{i}}\right)-W\left(T-T_{\mathrm{a}}\right)+Q \text { in } \Omega \times(0, N) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side of Eq. (2.1) determines the amount of heat passing through the tissuc. The second term of Eq. (2.1) represents heat transfer due to blood circulation. We will consider boundary conditions of the following form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
T=T_{1} \text { over } S_{2} \times(0, N)  \tag{2.2}\\
k \frac{\partial T}{\partial n^{\prime}}=\beta\left(T_{2}-T\right) \text { over } z_{1} \wedge(0, N) \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

We assume that the following initial temperature distribution is given:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x, 0)=T_{0}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, it can be assumed that $T_{0}=T_{1}=T_{a}=\tilde{C}$.
Let us assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{\mathrm{a}}=\text { const }  \tag{2.5}\\
\{a, k\} \subset L_{\infty}(\Omega),  \tag{2.6}\\
a(x) \geq b_{1}, \quad k(x) \geq b_{2} \quad \text { almost everywhere in } \Omega  \tag{2.7}\\
\beta \in L_{\infty}\left(S_{1}\right), \beta(s) \geq b_{3} \quad \text { almost everywhere over } S_{1},  \tag{2.8}\\
b_{i}=\text { const }>0 \quad(t=\overline{1,3}) . \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here and below we use cornventional notation for the functional spaces 17,81 . Let $W=W(x, T)$ and the following conditions be satisfied:

$$
\begin{gather*}
W \in L_{x}\left(\Omega, C^{\prime}(R)\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
\forall(x, \alpha) \in \Omega \times R, \quad 0 \leq W(x, a) \leq b_{4}  \tag{2.11}\\
\forall(x, \alpha) \in \Omega \times R, \quad \frac{\partial W}{\partial \alpha}(x, \alpha) \geq 0 \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $b_{4}=$ const $>0$. If $(x, t) \rightarrow \varphi(x, t)$ is a function defined in $G_{1}$, then we assume that $\varphi(t)=<x \rightarrow \varphi(x, t)>$ and consider $\varphi$ a function (or distribution) of $t$, taking values in the space of functions (or distributions) of $x$.

Let $\widetilde{T}$ be a continuation of $T_{1}$ in $G_{1}$ and satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{T} \in L_{2}\left((0, T) ; H^{\prime}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
\frac{\partial \widetilde{T}}{\partial t} \in L_{2}\left((0, T) ; H^{\prime}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
\left.\widetilde{T}\right|_{G_{2}}=T_{1} \tag{2.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

In particular, if $T_{1}(s, t)=C=$ const on $G_{2}$, then we can let

$$
\tilde{T}(x, t)=C \quad \forall(x, t) \in G_{1}
$$

We assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q \in L_{2}\left((0, N) ; X^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
T_{0} \in L_{2}(\Omega)  \tag{2.17}\\
T_{2} \in L_{2}\left((0, N) ; H^{-1 / 2}\left(S_{1}\right)\right) . \tag{2.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Specifically, we can assume that $\forall x \in \Omega ; T_{0}(x)=\tilde{C}$. The function $T=\widetilde{T}+T_{a}+\hat{r}$ will be called a generalized solution of problem (2.1)-(2.4) if $T$ is a solution for the following problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{T} \in L_{2}((0, T) ; X) \cap L_{\infty}\left((0, T) ; L_{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial T} \in L_{2}\left((0, T) ; X^{*}\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left(a \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial t}(t), h\right)+(L(t) \hat{T}(t), h)=(Q(t), h)-\left(a \frac{\partial \widetilde{T}}{\partial t}(t), h\right)-
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k \frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial x_{i}}(t) \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{i}} d x-\int_{S_{1}} \beta\left(\widetilde{T}(t)+T_{\mathrm{a}}-T_{2}\right) h d s, \quad \forall h \in X \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{T}(0)=T_{0}-\tilde{T}(0)-T_{\mathrm{a}} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can show that under condition (2.5)-(2.15) the operator is strictly monotonic. Using the results of 16 , 7], we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let conditions (2.5)-(2.15) and (2.16)-(2.18) be satisficd. Then, there exists a unique solution of problem (2.19)-(2.22), where the function $\left(Q ; T_{2}\right) \rightarrow T$, which determines the solution, is a continuous transform of

$$
G=L_{2}\left((0, N) ; X^{*}\right) \times L_{2}\left((0, N) ; H^{-1 / 2}\left(S_{1}\right)\right)
$$
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$$
V=\left\{u \mid u \in L_{2}\left((0, T) ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) ; \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L_{2}\left((0, T) ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)\right\}
$$

3. Problem of Optimization. Let us consider the function of the heat source power $Q$ and the cooling-medium temperature $T_{2}$ as controls. The set of controls will be determined in the form

$$
U=\left\{q \mid q=\left(Q, T_{2}\right) \in G\right\}
$$

From Theorem 2.1 it follows that for each $q \in \cup$ there exists a unique generalized solution $T_{q}$ of problem (2.1)-(2.4), where the function $q \rightarrow T_{q}$ is a continuous transform of $U$ to $V$. For each $q \in U$ we determine the damage function of the tissue at the point $x \in \Omega$

$$
\Phi(q, x)=\int_{0}^{N} g\left(T_{q}(x, t), x\right) T_{q}(x, t) d t
$$

Here $g$ is the influence function, which depends on temperature $T$ and $x \in \Omega$. In our case $g\left(T_{q}(x, t), x\right) T_{q}(x, t)$ is the degree of tissue damage at a point $(x, t) \in G_{1}$. The function $g$ is determined from an experiment and is equal to zero for $T \leq \widetilde{C}$, while for $T>\widetilde{C}$ it increases with an increase in $T, \vec{C}=$ const $>0$. For undamaged living tissue, $\Phi(q, x)=0$ and the function $g$ is normalized so that the equality $\Phi(q, x)=0$ indicates the tissue damage in the vicinity of point $x$. We consider that:

$$
\begin{gather*}
g \in C(R \times \bar{\Omega})  \tag{3.1}\\
b_{5} \geq g(c, x) \geq 0, \quad \forall(\alpha, x) \in R \times \Omega  \tag{3.2}\\
b_{5}=\text { const }>0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

We assume that the region of the tumor $\Omega_{1}$ is divided into $n_{1}$ small open areas $\omega_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i} \cap \omega_{j}=\varnothing \quad\left(i, j=\overline{1, n_{1}} ; i \neq j\right), \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \bar{\omega}_{i}=\bar{\Omega}_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the function $\mathrm{q} \in U$ we determine the extent of damage in $\omega_{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}(q)=\left(\operatorname{mes} \omega_{i}\right)^{-1} \times \iint_{\omega_{i}} \int_{0}^{N} g\left(T_{q}(x, t), x\right) T_{q}(x, t) d x d t \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the objective functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{0}(q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}}\left(\Phi_{i}(q)-1\right)^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that minimization of functional $\Phi_{0}$ is equivalent to maximization of tumor damage. Similarly, we divide the region of healthy tissue $\Omega \backslash \Omega_{1}$ into $n_{2}$ small open areas $\chi_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{i} \cap \chi_{j}= & \varnothing \quad\left(i, j=\overline{1, n_{2}} ; i \neq j\right) \\
& \cup_{2} \bar{\chi}_{i}=\bar{\Omega} \backslash \Omega_{1} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The damage extent in $\chi_{i}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{i}(\varphi)=\left(\operatorname{mes} \chi_{i}\right)^{-1} \times \int_{\chi_{i}} \int_{0}^{N} g\left(T_{q}(x, t), x\right) T_{q}(x, t) d x d t \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us determine the set of admissible controls

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{\alpha \delta}=\left\{q \mid q=\left(Q, T_{2}\right) \in U\right. \\
\|Q\|_{L_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)} \leq c_{1}, \quad\left\|T_{2}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(C_{2}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial T_{2}}{\partial t}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)} \leq c_{2} \\
\left.\Psi(q) \leq t_{i}, \quad i=\overline{1, n_{2}}, c_{i}=\text { const }>0, \quad i=1,2 ; \quad l_{i}=\text { const }>0, \quad i=\overline{1, n_{2}}\right\} . \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

The constants $l_{i}$ are constraints on the damage of healthy tissue, $l_{i}<1$. The problem of optimization consists in finding $q_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0} \in \cup_{a \delta}, \quad \Psi_{0}\left(q_{0}\right)=\inf _{q \in U_{a d}} \Psi_{0}(q) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let us assume that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and Eqs. (3.3)-(3.5) are valid. Let $\Psi_{0}$ be an objective functional and $\cup_{a d}$ be a nonempty set defined by relations (3.4)-(3.9). Then a solution of problem (3.10) exists.

Proof. Applying Theorem 2, we verify that $\Psi_{i}$ are continuous on $U$. Next, using general theorems on compactness [8], we prove that $U_{a d}$ is compact in $U$. Theorem 3.1 follows from the Weierstrass theorem.
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## NOTATION

$T_{q}$, solution of the biothermal equation for the vector $q ; T_{0}$, temperature required for therapeutic effect $\left(43^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), T_{1}$, maximum temperature allowable for healthy tissue ( $41^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $t$, time; $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right.$ ), point of the region $\Omega ; a=a_{1} \rho$, where $a_{1}$ is the specific heat, $\rho$ is the density; $k$, specific thermal conductivity; $w$, value of blood perfusion; $T_{\mathrm{a}}$, temperature of arterial blood; $Q$, power of a heat source of any physical nature providing tissue heating; $N$, duration of treatment; $\partial T / \partial v$, derivative in the direction of the unit normal $v$ to $S_{1} ; T_{2}$, temperature of the cooling medium; $\beta$, heat transfer coefficient; $\widetilde{C}$, normal (physiological) temperature of healthy tissue; $Y$, $Y^{*}$ are the Banach space and a space conjugate with it; $G_{1}=\Omega \times(0, N), \quad G_{2}=S_{2} \times(0, N)$, $X=\left\{u\left|u \in H^{1}(\Omega), u\right|_{s_{1}}=0\right\} ; L=\{L(t), t \in[0, N]\}$ is a set of operators mapping the space $X$ into $X^{*}$ in the following manner:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(L(t)(u), h)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} k \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{i}}+W\left(., u+\widetilde{T}(t)+T_{\mathfrak{a}}\right)(u+\widetilde{T}(t) h) d x+\int_{S_{1}} \beta u h d s,\right. \\
\{u, h\} \subset X .
\end{gathered}
$$
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